Public meeting will begin momentarily

• This meeting is being recorded
• Captions are available by clicking the CC icon in the Zoom toolbar below
• ASL is provided
• Presentation materials are available in the news article on the Board's News page: www.access-board.gov/news
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Background

• **Section 510 of the Rehabilitation Act** charges the Access Board with developing and maintaining accessibility standards for medical equipment used by health care providers for diagnostic purposes.

• In 2017, the Access Board issued **Medical Diagnostic Equipment (MDE) standards** establishing minimum technical criteria to ensure that medical diagnostic equipment in physician's offices, clinics, emergency rooms, hospitals, and other medical settings is independently accessible to, and usable by, individuals with disabilities.
Among other specifications, Medical Diagnostic Equipment Standards address:

- the height and adjustability of MDE equipment that patients who use wheelchairs must transfer onto, including examination tables and chairs, procedure tables, and imaging equipment with tables.
December 2010 – Final Report: The Anthropometry of Wheeled Mobility Project collected anthropometric data on 495 people who use wheeled mobility devices in the United States conducted by the University of Buffalo Center for Inclusive Design and Environmental Access (IDeA Center).

Researchers measured wheelchair seat height, occupied length, turning radii, reach ranges, and other dimensions.

**Finding**: occupied seat heights for people who use wheeled mobility devices vary considerably.
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for MDE Standards

• February 2012: the Access Board sought public comment on whether the height of transfer surfaces should be adjustable within a range of 17 inches minimum and 25 inches maximum.

• July 2012: the Access Board organized an advisory committee representing stakeholders to provide recommendations on how the MDE Standards should be finalized based on the public comments received.

• Most commenters supported a requirement for adjustability and a high transfer surface height of 25 inches but a consensus was not reached on what the low transfer height should be.
January 2017 – Access Board issued a final rule:

• Transfer surfaces to be adjustable 17–19 to 25 inches
• Four unspecified heights between the high and low
• Approach taken due to insufficient information on low transfer height
• Five Year Sunset provision extended to January 2025
Further Research

In 2021, the Access Board commissioned a secondary analysis of the Anthropometry of Wheeled Mobility database.

• Analysis was undertaken because some segments of the population test sample were over- or under-represented.
• Researchers statistically resampled the data.
Goals of the AWM Project

• Develop reliable and accurate methods for measuring the anthropometry of wheeled mobility users.

• Collect anthropometric data on a large sample of contemporary wheeled mobility users, especially users of powerchairs and scooters.

• Develop a database that can serve as a foundation for a long-range research program.

• Develop design tools and recommendations for use in technical assistance and design.
Data collection methods

Structural measurements

Reach measurements
Study Sample

- 500 wheeled mobility device users
  - 264 (53%) men, 236 (47%) women
  - 277 (55%) manual chair, 189 (38%) power chair, 34 (7%) scooter users

- Used a wheeled mobility device as the primary means of mobility

- Diverse medical conditions: spinal cord injuries, cerebral palsy, multiple sclerosis, stroke, amputations, etc.

- Study locations: Greater Buffalo Area-NY, Ithaca-NY, Pittsburgh-PA
Standards for Accessible Medical Diagnostic Equipment

Device dimensions for sizing weight scales

Knee-toe clearances and chest height for mammography machines

Occupied seat height ranges for side transfers
Occupied Seat Heights

- Distribution of occupied seat heights in the original IDeA Center AWM dataset
- Diverse seat heights between and within manual and powered wheelchairs (n = 466)
Demographic Proportions: Study Sample vs. National Estimates

Note: These proportions intentionally exclude scooter users.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Manual</th>
<th>Powered</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-64</td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>39.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total%</td>
<td></td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Manual</th>
<th>Powered</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1994-97 NHIS-D Proportions (target)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Manual</td>
<td>Powered</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A deliberate oversampling of powered wheelchair users in the IDeA Center study
Overview of the Resampling Methodology

Original IDeA Center sample, N = 466

8 sub-groups

- Manual, Men, Younger
- Manual, Men, Older
- Manual, Women, Younger
- Manual, Women, Older
- Power, Men, Younger
- Power, Men, Older
- Power, Women, Younger
- Power, Women, Older

Perform random selections with replacement by subgroup as per NHIS-D proportions

N1 = 500
N2 = 500
N3 = 500
N100 = 500

Compute statistics, percentiles

Compute averages of the statistics and percentiles over all 100 samples
Percentile Plot: Proportionally Weighted Seat Heights

100% manual wheelchairs

100% powered wheelchairs

42%ile@19in.

21%ile@18in.

4.5%ile@17in.
### Exploring Changes in Demographic Proportions

What if the percentage of powered wheelchair use has increased by 10% or 20%?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>1994-97 NHIS-D Proportions</th>
<th>+10% Powered Wheelchairs</th>
<th>+20% Powered Wheelchairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-64</td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total%</td>
<td></td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+10%  +10%
Percentile Plot: Increase in Powered Wheelchair %

100% manual wheelchairs

100% powered wheelchairs

Group:
- Manual weighted
- Powered weighted
- Combined weighted
- Combined weighted +10% Powered
- Combined weighted +20% Powered

Seat Height (in.)

Percentile

42\%ile at original
39\%ile @ +10\% PWU
36\%ile @ +20\% PWU
Conclusion

• Statistical resampling was used to generate proportionally representative samples.

• Estimated the proportion of wheelchair users with seat height ≤ 17”, 18” and 19”
  • If the lower height limit of MDE transfer surfaces were set to 17”, 18”, or 19”, then the proportion of wheelchair users excluded is estimated to be no more than 4.5%, 21%, and 42%, respectively.
  • Due to the small proportion of powered wheelchairs in the 1994-97 NHIS-D survey, percentiles for the combined proportional sample were similar to percentiles for manual wheelchairs.

• Statistical resampling allowed for estimating the potential influence of increasing powered wheelchair proportions
  • at 19”, a 10% increase in powered wheelchairs decreased the percent excluded from 42% to 39%
  • at 17”, a 10% increase in powered wheelchairs decreased the percent excluded from 4.5% to 4%

• Setting design specifications near the lower end of the distribution (e.g., 17” or 17.5”) would be less affected (more robust) to future changes in population demographics.
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Thank you!

Clive D’Souza, PhD
email: crd85@pitt.edu
o: 412-383-2758, m: 716-353-5837
Questions about the Study

You may type and submit questions in the Q&A Area
The Access Board is particularly interested in:

- The low height of adjustable MDE products currently on the market.
- Changes or innovations in the design and engineering of MDE that may have occurred since the Board issued standards in 2017.
- Updated information on the incremental costs for the design or redesign and manufacture of MDE that can provide a low transfer height of 17 inches.
Public Comments

• Request to share information, ideas, or comments using Zoom’s Q & A feature:
  • Name (and organization)
  • Brief description of content
• Host will enter you into queue
• Moderator will announce when you should unmute (*6 by phone)
• Moderator will also announce next in queue
• Presenters may respond to some comments
• Alternative: events@access-board.gov
• ASL – note in request to comment
• Please limit comments to < 3 min.
Written Comments

• Comments may be submitted until May 27, 2022.
• Submit written comments via email to: mde@access-board.gov
Further Information

U.S. Access Board
mde@access-board.gov
www.access-board.gov
(800) 872-2253 (voice)
(800) 993-2822 (TTY)
Thank you for joining us today.

This concludes our event. This event has been recorded, and the recording will be available on the Access Board’s homepage and its YouTube Channel soon.